

Honorable Sheri Woodfin, Tom Green District Clerk
Tracy Hopper, I.T. Administrator, Harris County District Clerk
Honorable Sharena Gilliland, Parker County District Clerk





- 1. In 2012, OCA became the current contract holder for statewide eFiling. The R.F.O. was awarded to Tyler Technologies. The contract included what systems?
 - a) Statewide eFiling portal
 - b) Statewide eFiling portal and statewide public access portal
 - c) Statewide eFiling portal, statewide public access portal, and a self help portal



- 1. In 2012, OCA became the current contract holder for statewide eFiling. The R.F.O. was awarded to Tyler Technologies. The contract included what systems?
 - a) Statewide eFiling portal
 - b) Statewide eFiling portal and statewide public access portal
 - c) Statewide eFiling portal, statewide public access portal, and a self help portal



- 2. What is the name of the statewide public access portal?
 - a) eFileTexas.gov
 - b) Re:SearchTx
 - c) Google



- 2. What is the name of the statewide public access portal?
 - a) eFileTexas.gov
 - b) Re:SearchTx re:SearchTX
 - c) Google

https://research.txcourts.gov



- 3. Who can access Re:SearchTx?
 - a) Attorneys
 - b) Judges
 - c) Clerks
 - d) Public
 - e) All of the above



- 3. Who can access Re:SearchTx?
 - a) Attorneys
 - b) Judges
 - c) Clerks
 - d) Public
 - e) All of the above



- 4. Does the attorney on the case have to pay for documents on Re:SearchTx?
 - a) Yes
 - b) No



- 4. Does the attorney on the case have to pay for documents on Re:SearchTx?
 - a) Yes
 - b) No



- 5. Does a licensed attorney not on the case have to pay for documents on Re:SearchTx?
 - a) Yes
 - b) No



- 5. Does a licensed attorney not on the case have to pay for documents on Re:SearchTx?
 - a) Yes
 - b) No



- 6. Can the public or licensed attorneys not on the case view data sensitive documents?
 - a) Yes
 - b) No



- 6. Can the public or licensed attorneys not on the case view data sensitive documents?
 - a) Yes
 - b) No (Attorney on the case can see DSD)



- 7. What is the cost per document charged on re:SearchTx?
 - a) 6.00 dollars
 - b) .10 cents a page
 - c) .10 cents a page up to 6.00 per order
 - d) .10 cents a page up to 6.00 max per document



- 7. What is the cost per document charged on re:SearchTx?
 - a) 6.00 dollars
 - b) .10 cents a page
 - c) .10 cents a page up to 6.00 per order
 - d) .10 cents a page up to 6.00 max per document



- 8. How much does the originating county receive of document costs?
 - a) None
 - b) 100% of what the user paid
 - c) 100% of document costs minus any fees



- 8. How much does the originating county receive of document costs?
 - a) None
 - b) 100% of what the user paid
 - c) 100% of document costs minus any fees



- 9. Is there a watermark on documents purchased on ReSearchTx?
 - a) Yes
 - b) No



- 9. Is there a watermark on documents purchased on ReSearchTx?
 - a) Yes (but it is not a traditional watermark it is only located on the lower left corner of the document)
 - b) No

11/7/2018 12:19 PM Chris Daniel - District Clerk Harris County Envelope No. 28869730 By: Kerrys Kossie

Filed: 11/7/2018 12:19 PM

CAUSE NO. 2016-12352

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OCCIDENTAL CHEMICAL CORPORATION, Plaintiff, HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS TEXAS BRINE COMPANY, LLC, Defendant. 333rd DISTRICT COURT

VERIFICATION OF PUBLIC NOTICE OF MOTION TO SEAL COURT RECORDS

STATE OF TEXAS HARRIS COUNTY

Before me, the undersigned notary, on this day personally appeared Laura J. Kissel, the affiant, whose identity is known to me. After I administered an oath, affiant testified as follows:

"My name is Laura J. Kissel. I am capable of making this verification. I certify that the Public Notice of Motion to Seal Court Records attached as Exhibit A was posted at the place where notices for meetings of county governmental bodies are required to be posted for Harris County, Texas, on November 5, 2018. The facts stated in the Public Notice are within my personal knowledge and are true and correct."

Sworn to and subscribed before me by Laura J. Kissel on November 7, 2018.

the State of Texas







- 10. Can the attorneys see all case types?
 - a) Yes
 - b) No
 - c) All but criminal



- 10. Can the attorneys see all case types?
 - a) Yes
 - b) No
 - c) All but criminal



- 11. Can the public see all case types?
 - a) Yes
 - b) No
 - c) All but criminal



- 11. Can the public see all case types?
 - a) Yes
 - b) No (public users have a matrix in the Technology Standards)
 - c) All but criminal



- 12. How far back can attorneys see an efiled document?
 - a) 1/1/2016
 - b) 11/1/2018
 - c) 11/7/2018
 - d) All efiled documents



- 12. How far back can attorneys see an efiled document?
 - a) 1/1/2016
 - b) 11/1/2018
 - c) 11/7/2018
 - d) All efiled documents



- 13. How far back can the public see an efiled document?
 - a) 1/1/2016
 - b) 11/1/2018
 - c) 11/7/2018
 - d) All efiled documents



- 13. How far back can the public see an efiled document?
 - a) 1/1/2016
 - b) 11/1/2018
 - c) 11/7/2018
 - d) All efiled documents



14. If a clerk expunges a case in efiling (court admin module) will it be removed from re:SearchTx?

- a) Yes
- b) No
- c) Only if you are integrated



14. If a clerk expunges a case in efiling (court admin module) will it be removed from re:SearchTx?

- a) Yes (by the next day)
- b) No
- c) Only if you are integrated



15. If a clerk is NOT integrated with efiling, will their documents be on re:SearchTx?

- a) Yes
- b) No



15. If a clerk is NOT integrated with efiling, will their documents be on re:SearchTx?

- a) Yes
- b) No



16. If a clerk is NOT integrated with re:Searchtx, will their efiled documents be on re:SearchTx?

- a) Yes
- b) No



16. If a clerk is NOT integrated with re:Searchtx, will their efiled documents be on re:SearchTx?

- a) Yes
- b) No



17. If a county has Odyssey then they are automatically integrated with re:SearchTx?

- a) Yes
- b) No



- 17. If a county has Odyssey then they are automatically integrated with re:SearchTx?
 - a) Yes
 - b) No (there is a contract to sign in order to integrate with re:SearchTx)



- 18. Redaction is required on data sensitive documents in eFiling?
 - a) Yes
 - b) No
 - c) Only if not marked "data sensitive" on the document



- 18. Redaction is required on data sensitive documents in eFiling?
 - a) Yes (unless it is required to be included by statute)
 - b) No
 - c) Only if not marked "data sensitive" on the document



19. As a clerk, I need to fix/correct any incorrectly redacted documents during acceptance?

- a) Yes
- b) No



19. As a clerk, I need to fix/correct any incorrectly redacted documents during acceptance?

- a) Yes
- b) No



20. Redaction services provided through efiling auto redacts and complies with all of TRCP 21c?

- a) Yes
- b) No



20. Redaction services provided through efiling auto redacts and complies with all of TRCP 21c?

- a) Yes
- b) No (redaction services provided do NOT guarantee compliance with TRCP 21c)




- 21. The clerk will receive which document when a filer redacts the document?
 - a) Only the original
 - b) Both the original and redacted documents
 - c) Only the redacted document
 - d) Set by configuration



- 21. The clerk will receive which document when a filer redacts the document?
 - a) Only the original
 - b) Both the original and redacted documents
 - c) Only the redacted document
 - d) Set by configuration


eFiling Update





News from OCA/JCIT

- Items above will be discussed next at the JCIT meeting on February 1st 2019 as of Nov 2018 Meeting
 - Clerk Issues working on changing "Lead" and "Attachment" to something more understandable for filers. The committee is looking at "Standalone Document" (stamped), "Proposed Order" (not stamped) and "Exhibits/Supporting Documents" (not stamped) as the three that will be provided. The current target is late January/February for rolling out statewide.
 - Possibility of mandating Juvenile cases be efiled through eFileTexas.gov
 - Possibility of mandating that orders at the trial-court level be efiled through eFileTexas.gov



News from OCA/JCIT

- Items above will be discussed next at the JCIT meeting on February 1st 2019
 - Discussion on changing the definition of "Attorney on the Case" to include all users associated with the attorney's firm.
 - Discussion on adding Self-Represented role and permissions.
 - Draft Technology Standards v6
 - Uniformity Group Report



News from OCA/JCIT

Draft Technology Standards - v6

64.7 OTHER STANDARD ELECTRONIC FILING SYSTEM CONFIGURATIONS

4.7.1 STANDARD DOCUMENTS TO FILE

All filers will be given the following document types to file per envelope:

<u>Display Name</u>	Formats Allowed	Stamped?	Multiples Allowed?
Standalone Document	PDF	Yes	Yes
Płoposed Order	PDF, Word	No	Yes
Exhibits/Supporting Documents	PDF	No	Yes

4.6.14.7.2 STANDARD FILER PROCESSES

All filers using the electronic filing system must follow the standard processes when filing particular items with the court. Clerks may not return a document for correction if the filer followed the processes outlined below.



eFileTexas / re:SearchTX



Contact OCA / JCIT members for further information

View State Level website for more details at

http://www.txcourts.gov/jcit/

Supreme Court website at

http://www.txcourts.gov/supreme/